

Public Policy Advocate, an *individual or team event*, recognizes participants who demonstrate their knowledge, skills, and abilities to actively identify a local, state, national or global concern relating to an area concerning Family and Consumer Sciences and/or related occupations, research the topic, identify a target *audience* and potential partnerships, form an action plan, and advocate for the issue in an effort to positively affect a policy or law. Participants must prepare a **portfolio** and **oral presentation**.

EVENT LEVELS


Level 1: through grade 8

Level 2: grades 9-10

Level 3: grades 11–12

Level 4: Postsecondary

See page 10 for more information on event levels.

1. Review “Eligibility and General Rules for All Levels of Competition” on page 11 prior to event planning and preparation.
2. Participants are encouraged to bring fully charged devices such as laptops, tablets, etc., to use for audiovisual or *electronic portfolio* presentation at competitions.
3. Participants should design projects to advocate for in an effort to positively affect a policy or law. Projects related to general awareness of a topic do not meet the requirements of this event. 
4. Chapters with multiple entries in this event must submit different projects for each entry. All projects must be developed and completed during a one-year span beginning July 1 and ending June 30 of the school year before the National Leadership Conference, and must be the work of the participant(s) only.
5. National Leadership Conference participants will view the online orientation video found on the official FCCLA YouTube channel, available in early June. Each entry must complete and submit the required form to the event room consultant at the time of competition. Only one form per entry is required. Contact State Advisers for orientation procedures for competitions held prior to National Leadership Conference

ELIGIBILITY & GENERAL INFORMATION

GENERAL INFORMATION								
Number of Participants per Entry	Prepare Ahead of Time	Equipment Provided for Competition	Competition Dress Code	Competition Participant Set Up / Prep Time	Competition Room Consultant & Evaluator Review Time	Maximum Oral Presentation Time	Competition Evaluation Interview Time	Competition Total Event Time
1-3	Portfolio, Oral Presentation	Table – yes Electrical Access – no Wall Space – no Supplies - no	Official dress -or- Professional dress appropriate to event	10 minutes	10 minutes prior to presentation	1-min. warning at 9 min.; stopped at 10 min.	5 minutes	30 minutes

PRESENTATION ELEMENTS ALLOWED									
Audio	Costumes	Easel(s)	File Folder	Flip Chart(s)	Portfolio	Props/Pointers	Skits	Presentation Equipment	Visuals
■		■		■	■	■		■	■

PUBLIC POLICY ADVOCATE

Procedures and Time Requirements for Competition

Each entry will submit a <i>portfolio</i> (<i>hardcopy</i> or <i>electronic</i>) to the event room consultant at the designated participation time.	
10 minutes	Participant(s) will have 10 minutes to set up for the event. Other persons may not assist.
	Room consultants and evaluators will have 10 minutes to preview the <i>portfolio</i> during participant set up time.
10 minutes	The oral presentation may be up to 10 minutes in length. A one-minute warning will be given at 9 minutes. Participants will be stopped at 10 minutes. If audio or audiovisual recordings are used, they are limited to a 1-minute playing time during the presentation. <i>Presentation equipment</i> , without audio, may be used during the entire presentation.
5 minutes	Following the presentation, evaluators will have 5 minutes to interview participants.
5 minutes	Evaluators will have 5 minutes to use the rubric to score and write comments for participants.


Specifications

Hardcopy Portfolio

The *portfolio* is a collection of materials used to document and illustrate the work of the project. Materials must be contained in the official FCCLA STAR Events binder obtained from the FCCLA national emblematic supplier. A decorative and/or informative cover may be included. All materials, including the *content divider pages*, must fit within the cover, be one-sided, and may not exceed 50 pages, as described below. Divider page tabs may extend up to 1" outside the cover. Once a *hardcopy portfolio* is turned in to the evaluators, participants may not switch to an *electronic portfolio*.

Electronic Portfolio

An *electronic portfolio* may be either in PowerPoint, Prezi, or other electronic format that can be viewed by the evaluators and room consultants prior to the oral presentation. The *electronic portfolio* and the hardware (method) to view it (i.e., *equipment, files, projectors, screens, laptops*) will be turned in to the room consultant at the designated participation time. Participants assume the responsibility of providing the *technology* used to show the evaluators the project. Once an *electronic portfolio* is turned in to the evaluators, participants may not switch to a *hardcopy portfolio*. *Portfolio* may not exceed 61 slides, as described below.

SPECIFICATIONS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3 AND 4
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 1 slide	<i>Project Identification Page</i>	<i>Plain paper</i> or slide, with no <i>graphics</i> or decorations; must include participant's name(s), chapter name, school, city, state, event name, and project title.		
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 1 slide	Table of Contents	List the parts of the <i>portfolio</i> in the order in which the parts appear.		
1-8 ½" x 11" page or 2 slides	FCCLA <i>Planning Process</i> Summary Page	Summarize how each step of the <i>Planning Process</i> was used to plan and implement the project; use of the <i>Planning Process</i> may also be described in the oral presentation.		
1	 Evidence of Online Project Summary Submission	Complete the online project summary form located on the "Surveys" tab on the FCCLA Student Portal, and include signed proof of submission in the portfolio.		
0-11	<i>Content Divider Pages</i> or Sections	Use 0 to 11 <i>content divider/section</i> pages or slides. <i>Content divider/section</i> pages may be tabbed, may contain a title, a section name, <i>graphic</i> elements, thematic decorations, and/or page numbers. They must not include any other <i>content</i> .		

Public Policy Advocate Specifications (continued)

SPECIFICATIONS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3 AND 4
Up to 35 8 ½" x 11" pages or 45 slides	Issue Type (Relating to an area concerning Family and Consumer Sciences and/or related occupations)	Choose an issue with which to conduct the project in an effort to positively affect a policy or law. The issue should be relevant, <i>current</i> , and based on an actual need observed by the participant(s). Indicate whether the issue is a local or state concern. If the issue could be addressed at multiple levels, the participant will choose one level on which to focus.	Choose an issue with which to conduct the project in an effort to positively affect a policy or law. The issue should be relevant, <i>current</i> , and based on an actual need observed by the participant(s). Indicate whether the issue is a local, state or national concern. If the issue could be addressed at multiple levels, the participant will choose one level on which to focus.	Choose an issue with which to conduct the project in an effort to positively affect a policy or law. The issue should be relevant, <i>current</i> , and based on an actual need observed by the participant(s). Indicate whether the issue is a local, state, national or global concern. If the issue could be addressed at multiple levels, the participant will choose one level on which to focus.
	Issue Research	Comprehensively describe the concern the project addresses, including points from all sides of the issue. Research should be based on factual information and pros and cons of each side of the issue should be addressed. Research should include the stakeholders in the issue and their position regarding the concern.		
	Project Goals	Provide project goals that are specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely. Include points of support for each of the goals throughout the project.		
	Elevator Speech	Develop a short, concise statement about the project's point of view and goals for the issue that can be used if given limited time or a chance meeting with someone who is interested in the participants' opinion.		
	"Leave Behind" Document	Using information from the issue research, project goals, and applicable statistics and data, participant should create a document no larger than one 8" x 11" page that could be left with individuals following a meeting to summarize and remind them of the participants' request. *If using an <i>electronic portfolio</i> , the one-page document should be included in its original form to capture the exact document used in the project.		
	Target Audience Profile	Determine who best the participant(s) should direct their efforts toward and research the past opinions and actions of those individuals or groups. Include one (1) way discovered to best reach the chosen <i>audience(s)</i> .	Determine who best the participant(s) should direct their efforts toward and research the past opinions and actions of those individuals or groups. Include two (2) ways discovered to best reach the chosen <i>audience(s)</i> .	Determine who best the participant(s) should direct their efforts toward and research the past opinions and actions of those individuals or groups. Include three (3) ways discovered to best reach the chosen <i>audience(s)</i> .

Public Policy Advocate Specifications (continued)


SPECIFICATIONS	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3 AND 4	
Up to 35 8 ½" x 11" pages or 45 slides, continued	Partnerships	Research, identify, and interview one (1) individual or one (1) group whom hold similar interests or values and could provide support for the participants' efforts. Include evidence of the participant(s) reaching out to individuals or groups and their response.	Research, identify, and interview two (2) individuals or two (2) groups whom hold similar interests or values and could provide support for the participants' efforts. Include evidence of the participant(s) reaching out to individuals or groups and their response.	
	Methods of Action	Detail each of the methods of action used during the project. Meetings and presentations should be documented by summarizing who the participant(s) met with, time of the meeting, main discussion points, and any follow up planned/conducted, letters/articles should be included in the <i>portfolio</i> , etc.		
	Media Involvement	Efforts and successes in gaining media attention around the concern. Include 2 examples from either newspaper, radio, television, internet, social media, etc.	Efforts and successes in gaining media attention around the concern. Include 3 examples from either newspaper, radio, television, internet, social media, etc.	Efforts and successes in gaining media attention around the concern. Include 4 examples from either newspaper, radio, television, internet, social media, etc.
	Results of Advocacy	Include information regarding the results of the participants' efforts, including actions taken by those they targeted, response from those approached, ideas for future improvement in advocacy methods, etc. Identify the most frequent concern regarding the issue encountered through the participants' targeted <i>audience</i> and include suggestions on how to counteract their opposition in the future.		
	Works Cited/ <i>Bibliography</i>	Use MLA or APA citation style to cite all references. <i>Resources</i> should be <i>reliable</i> and <i>current</i> .		
	Appearance	<i>Portfolio</i> must be neat, legible, and <i>professional</i> and use correct grammar and spelling.		

Public Policy Advocate Specifications (continued)

Oral Presentation

The oral presentation may be up to 10 minutes in length and is delivered to evaluators. The presentation should explain the specifics of the project. The presentation may not be prerecorded. If audio or *audiovisual equipment* is used, it is limited to 1-minute playing time during the presentation. *Presentation equipment*, with no audio, may be used throughout the oral presentation. Participants may use any combination of *props*, materials, supplies, and/or equipment to demonstrate how to carry out the project.

SPECIFICATIONS	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3 AND 4
Organization/Delivery	Deliver oral presentation in an organized, sequential manner; concisely and thoroughly summarize project.		
Knowledge of <i>Public Policy</i>	Demonstrate knowledge of public policy and ability to apply knowledge to real-life situations.		
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework/Standards	Describe the relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences coursework to project.	Describe the relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences coursework to project. Explain which national FCCLA program could be used during project implementation.	Describe the relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences coursework to project. Explain which national FCCLA program could be used during project implementation. Identify FCS career pathway connections.
Use of <i>Portfolio</i> and <i>Visuals</i> During Presentation	Use the <i>portfolio</i> and <i>visuals</i> to support, illustrate, or complement presentation.		
Voice	Speak clearly with appropriate pitch, tempo, and volume.		
Body Language/ Clothing Choice	Use appropriate body language including gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and appropriate handling of notes or note cards if used. Wear FCCLA official dress or professional dress appropriate for the nature of the presentation.		
Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation	Use proper grammar, word usage, and pronunciation.		
Responses to Evaluators' Questions	Provide clear and concise answers to evaluators' questions regarding project. Questions are asked after the presentation.		



PUBLIC POLICY ADVOCATE

Rubric – Level 1

Name of Participant _____

Chapter _____ State _____ Team # _____ Station # _____ Level _____

PORTFOLIO							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Issue Type 0–3 points	0 Not provided	1 Issue type identified, but is not related to a policy or law, or level of focus not identified correctly	2 Issue type of policy or law, and level of focus identified as local or state	3 Issue type of policy or law, and level of local or state focus identified, based on relevant, current and actual need			
Issue Research 0–10 points	0 Not included	1 2 Issue is mentioned	3 4 Issue is identified with limited research provided for one side of the issue	5 6 Issue is identified, researched, but it does not validate the concerns	7 8 Issue is identified, and evidence of the need is used to form the action plan	9 10 Issue is identified and researched with validity to the need for action, including various stakeholders identified and their position on the issue	
Project Goals 0–3 points	0 Not provided	1 Project goals are identified though missing points of support	2 Project goals are identified, with partial points of support included	3 Project goals and points of support for each goal is identified and well documented			
Elevator Speech/ Leave Behind 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Talking points are evident, not well developed	3 4 Talking points are evident and well developed, leave behind item is evident	5 Talking points and elevator speech are effective and on target for advocacy project, leave behind item is well designed			
Target Audience 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Audience is mentioned but not thoroughly defined	2 Audience is defined but limited on past opinions and actions	3 Audience(s) are well defined, research on past opinions and actions, and provides 1 method for reaching target audience(s)			
Evidence of Partnerships 0–2 points	0 No partnerships provided	1 Partnerships (1) identified though lacks evidence of reaching out to or interviews with partners	2 Partnerships (1) identified, researched and interviewed with evidence of reaching out				
Methods of Action 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Steps inadequate	2 Presented but not organized	3 Steps are well organized, but does not include details of meeting, discussion, or follow up	4 Steps are presented, organized, summarized project explained, includes some meeting details	5 Plan is well developed and each step is fully explained, includes meeting details, discussion points, and follow up	
Media Involvement 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Less than 2 examples or does not address project concern	2 Includes 2 examples of media success and/or efforts and somewhat address project concern	3 Includes 2 examples of media success and/or efforts and media appropriately address project concern			
Results of Advocacy 0–5 points	0 No follow up was done	1 Outcomes inadequately stated, and no evaluation used	2 Outcomes inadequately stated, evaluation method used and results explained	3 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoroughly done. A single evaluation method was used and results explained	4 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoroughly done. Multiple methods were used and results are explained	5 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results explained well. Plans to improve future efforts are included	
Works Cited/ Bibliography 0–3 points	0 No resources listed	1 Resources are incomplete, not current, or not reliable for project	2 Reliable resources but incorrect style (<i>see style sheet</i>)	3 Complete list of current and reliable resources, in MLA or APA style (<i>see style sheet</i>)			
Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	1 Portfolio is neat, but may contain grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly	2 Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Neat, legible, professional, correct grammar and spelling used with effective organization of information			

Public Policy Advocate Rubric Level 1 (continued)

ORAL PRESENTATION											
Organization/ Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not done or presented briefly and does not cover components of the project	1 Presentation covers some topic elements	2 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	3 Presentation covers complete information but does not explain the project well	4 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	5 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	6 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	7 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	8 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	9 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery
Knowledge of Public Policy 0-10 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge of public policy	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge of public policy	2 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	3 Knowledge of public policy is evident but not effectively used in presentation	4 Knowledge of public policy is evident but not effectively used in presentation	5 Knowledge of public policy is evident and shared at times in the presentation	6 Knowledge of public policy is evident and shared at times in the presentation	7 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	8 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	9 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	10 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework and Standards 0-3 points	0 No evidence of relationship between project and FCS	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge and FCS coursework relationship	2 Knowledge and relationship to FCS is good	3 Knowledge and FCS relationship is evident							
Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation 0-3 points	0 Portfolio and visuals not used during presentation	1 Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation	2 Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	3 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio and visuals							
Voice – pitch, tempo, volume 0-3 points	0 Voice qualities not used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing							
Body Language/ Clothing Choice 0-3 points	0 Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contact/inappropriate clothing	1 Gestures, posture, mannerisms and eye contact is inconsistent/ clothing is appropriate	2 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing are appropriate	3 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing enhance presentation							
Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation 0-3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3-5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1-2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors							
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0-5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation					


Evaluator's Comments – include two things done well and two opportunities for improvement:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)

Evaluator # _____

Evaluator Initial _____

Room Consultant Initial _____



PUBLIC POLICY ADVOCATE Rubric – Level 2

Name of Participant _____

Chapter _____ State _____ Team # _____ Station # _____ Level _____

PORTFOLIO							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Issue Type 0–3 points	0 Not provided	1 Issue type identified, but is not related to a policy or law, or level of focus not identified correctly	2 Issue type of policy or law, and level of focus identified as local, state, or national	3 Issue type of policy or law, and level of local, state, or national focus identified, based on relevant, current and actual need			
Issue Research 0–10 points	0 Not included	1 2 Issue is mentioned	3 4 Issue is identified with limited research provided for one side of the issue	5 6 Issue is identified, researched, but it does not validate the concerns	7 8 Issue is identified, and evidence of the need is used to form the action plan	9 10 Issue is identified and researched with validity to the need for action, including various stakeholders identified and their position on the issue	
Project Goals 0–3 points	0 Not provided	1 Project goals are identified though missing points of support	2 Project goals are identified, with partial points of support included	3 Project goals and points of support for each goal is identified and well documented			
Elevator Speech/ Leave Behind 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Talking points are evident, not well developed	3 4 Talking points are evident and well developed, leave behind item is evident	5 Talking points and elevator speech are effective and on target for advocacy project, leave behind item is well designed			
Target Audience 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Audience is mentioned but not thoroughly defined	2 Audience is defined but limited on past opinions and actions	3 Audience(s) are well defined, research on past opinions and actions, and provides 2 methods for reaching target audience(s)			
Evidence of Partnerships 0–2 points	0 No partnerships provided	1 Partnerships (1) identified though lacks evidence of reaching out to or interviews with partners	2 Partnerships (1) identified, researched and interviewed with evidence of reaching out				
Methods of Action 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Steps inadequate	2 Presented but not organized	3 Steps are well organized, but does not include details of meeting, discussion, or follow up	4 Steps are presented, organized, summarized project explained, includes some meeting details	5 Plan is well developed and each step is fully explained, includes meeting details, discussion points, and follow up	
Media Involvement 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Less than 3 examples or does not address project concern	2 Includes 3 examples of media success and/or efforts and somewhat address project concern	3 Includes 3 examples of media success and/or efforts and media appropriately address project concern			
Results of Advocacy 0–5 points	0 No follow up was done	1 Outcomes inadequately stated, and no evaluation used	2 Outcomes inadequately stated, evaluation method used and results explained	3 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoroughly done. A single evaluation method was used and results explained	4 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoroughly done. Multiple methods were used and results are explained	5 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results explained well. Plans to improve future efforts are included	
Works Cited/ Bibliography 0–3 points	0 No resources listed	1 Resources are incomplete, not current, or not reliable for project	2 Reliable resources but incorrect style (<i>see style sheet</i>)	3 Complete list of current and reliable resources, in MLA or APA style (<i>see style sheet</i>)			
Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	1 Portfolio is neat, but may contain grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly	2 Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Neat, legible, professional, correct grammar and spelling used with effective organization of information			

Public Policy Advocate Rubric Level 2 (continued)

ORAL PRESENTATION											
Organization/ Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not done or presented briefly and does not cover components of the project	1 Presentation covers some topic elements	2 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	3 Presentation covers complete information but does not explain the project well	4 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	5 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	7 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	9 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery
Knowledge of Public Policy 0-10 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge of public policy	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge of public policy	2 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	3 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	4 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	5 Knowledge of public policy is evident but not effectively used in presentation	6 Knowledge of public policy is evident but not effectively used in presentation	7 Knowledge of public policy is evident and shared at times in the presentation	8 Knowledge of public policy is evident and shared at times in the presentation	9 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	10 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework and Standards 0-3 points	0 No evidence of relationship between project and FCS	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge and FCS coursework relationship	2 Knowledge of relationship to FCS is good, national program identified.	3 Knowledge of FCS relationship is evident, national program identified							
Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation 0-3 points	0 Portfolio and visuals not used during presentation	1 Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation	2 Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	3 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio and visuals							
Voice – pitch, tempo, volume 0-3 points	0 Voice qualities not used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing							
Body Language/ Clothing Choice 0-3 points	0 Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contact/inappropriate clothing	1 Gestures, posture, mannerisms and eye contact is inconsistent/ clothing is appropriate	2 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing are appropriate	3 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing enhance presentation							
Grammar/Word Usage/Pronunciation 0-3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3-5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1-2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors							
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0-5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation					

Evaluator's Comments – include two things done well and two opportunities for improvement:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)

Evaluator # _____

Evaluator Initial _____

Room Consultant Initial _____



PUBLIC POLICY ADVOCATE

Rubric – Level 3 and 4

Name of Participant _____

Chapter _____ State _____ Team # _____ Station # _____ Level _____

PORTFOLIO							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Issue Type 0–3 points	0 Not provided	1 Issue type identified, but is not related to a policy or law, or level of focus not identified	2 Issue type of policy or law, and level of focus identified as local, state, national or global	3 Issue type of policy or law, and level of local, state, national, or global focus identified, based on relevant, current and actual need			
Issue Research 0–10 points	0 Not included	1 2 Issue is mentioned	3 4 Issue is identified with limited research provided for one side of the issue	5 6 Issue is identified, researched, but it does not validate the concerns	7 8 Issue is identified, and evidence of the need is used to form the action plan	9 10 Issue is identified and researched with validity to the need for action, including various stakeholders identified and their position on the issue	
Project Goals 0–3 points	0 Not provided	1 Project goals are identified though missing points of support		2 Project goals are identified, with partial points of support included	3 Project goals and points of support for each goal is identified and well documented		
Elevator Speech/ Leave Behind 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 2 Talking points are evident, not well developed		3 4 Talking points are evident and well developed, leave behind item is evident	5 Talking points and elevator speech are effective and on target for advocacy project, leave behind item is well designed		
Target Audience 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Audience is mentioned but not thoroughly defined		2 Audience is defined but limited on past opinions and actions	3 Audience(s) are well defined, research on past opinions and actions, and provides 3 methods for reaching target audience(s)		
Evidence of Partnerships 0–2 points	0 No partnerships provided	1 Partnerships (1) identified though lacks evidence of reaching out to or interviews with partners			2 Partnerships (1) identified, researched and interviewed with evidence of reaching out		
Methods of Action 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Steps inadequate	2 Presented but not organized	3 Steps are well organized, but does not include details of meeting, discussion, or follow up	4 Steps are presented, organized, summarized project explained, includes some meeting details	5 Plan is well developed and each step is fully explained, includes meeting details, discussion points, and follow up	
Media Involvement 0–3 points	0 Not included	1 Less than 4 examples or does not address project concern		2 Includes 4 examples of media success and/or efforts and somewhat address project concern	3 Includes 4 examples of media success and/or efforts and media appropriately address project concern		
Results of Advocacy 0–5 points	0 No follow up was done	1 Outcomes inadequately stated, and no evaluation used	2 Outcomes inadequately stated, evaluation method used and results explained	3 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoroughly done. A single evaluation method was used and results explained	4 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoroughly done. Multiple methods were used and results are explained	5 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results explained well. Plans to improve future efforts are included	
Works Cited/ Bibliography 0–3 points	0 No resources listed	1 Resources are incomplete, not current, or not reliable for project		2 Reliable resources but incorrect style (<i>see style sheet</i>)	3 Complete list of current and reliable resources, in MLA or APA style (<i>see style sheet</i>)		
Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	1 Portfolio is neat, but may contain grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly		2 Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Neat, legible, professional, correct grammar and spelling used with effective organization of information		

Public Policy Advocate Rubric Level 3 and 4 (continued)

ORAL PRESENTATION											
Organization/ Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not done or presented briefly and does not cover components of the project	1 Presentation covers some topic elements	2 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	3 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	4 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	5 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	7 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	9 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery
Knowledge of Public Policy 0-10 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge of public policy	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge of public policy	2 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	3 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	4 Some evidence of knowledge of public policy	5 Knowledge of public policy is evident but not effectively used in presentation	6 Knowledge of public policy is evident but not effectively used in presentation	7 Knowledge of public policy is evident and shared at times in the presentation	8 Knowledge of public policy is evident and shared at times in the presentation	9 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	10 Knowledge of public policy is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework and Standards 0-3 points	0 No evidence of relationship between project and FCS	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge and FCS coursework relationship	2 Knowledge of relationship to FCS is good, national program identified. FCS career pathway identified	3 Knowledge of FCS relationship is evident, national program identified, and both explained well. FCS career pathways identified							
Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation 0-3 points	0 Portfolio and visuals not used during presentation	1 Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation	2 Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	3 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio and visuals							
Voice – pitch, tempo, volume 0-3 points	0 Voice qualities not used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing							
Body Language/ Clothing Choice 0-3 points	0 Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contact/inappropriate clothing	1 Gestures, posture, mannerisms and eye contact is inconsistent/ clothing is appropriate	2 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing are appropriate	3 Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing enhance presentation							
Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation 0-3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3-5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1-2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors							
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0-5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation					

Evaluator's Comments – include two things done well and two opportunities for improvement:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)

Evaluator # _____

Evaluator Initial _____

Room Consultant Initial _____